

MINUTES
Wyoming City Council
July 20, 2020

The Wyoming City Council met in regular session on July 20, 2020 at 7:00 p.m. via Zoom. The meeting was also presented live on Facebook due to COVID-19 precautions. Mayor Hoffmeister called the meeting to order. In attendance were:

Council Members:

Thaddeus Hoffmeister, Mayor
Nancy Averett
Al Delgado
Zach Green
Jim O'Reilly
Sarah Stankorb Taylor

Others:

Lynn Tetley, City Manager
Emily Supinger, City Solicitor

APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA:

Mr. Delgado moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Mr. O'Reilly seconded the motion. There being no discussion, the motion to approve the following items on the Consent Agenda carried with all voting yes:

- June 15, 2020 City Council Meeting Minutes
- June 22, 2020 Committee of the Whole Meeting Minutes
- June 29, 2020 Special Committee of the Whole Meeting Minutes
- July 6, 2020 Special Committee of the Whole Meeting Minutes
- Resolution Authorizing the Filing of an Application for SCIP 2020-2021 Funds and Execution of Project Agreement with the Ohio Public Works Commission

SPECIAL REPORTS/MINUTES:

Mr. O'Reilly reported that OKI has not met this month and is awaiting passage of the senate version of the transportation appropriations bill.

REPORTS OF STANDING COUNCIL COMMITTEES:

No comments were received.

CITIZEN PARTICIPATION:

Ms. Chandra Napora, 230 Wilmuth Avenue, stated that threatening letters were sent to several residents that had Black Lives Matter signs in their yards. She received one as well. The letters were not signed and was clearly not meant as a way to engage in any kind of meaningful discussion. Rather, it was a threat. Among other things, the letter directed her to take her sign down. Ms. Napora speculated that many may remember the Anthrax laden letters that were sent anonymously in the early 2000s. She had received one of those Anthrax letters at her job and after receiving the letter demanding that her sign be taken down it felt very much the same as when she received an Anthrax letter. This is a threat

regardless of how many times the author asked that God Bless America. It should be absolutely uncontroversial for anyone to say that black lives matter. The fact that it is instead, terribly and threateningly uncontroversial, speaks volumes about the need for us to keep saying it until every single one of us believes it. Until it is actually and systemically true. When members of this Council and others in the community disingenuously pit those of us saying, what again should be uncontroversial, that black lives matter, against the Police and when members of this Council and others in the community spread misinformation and falsehoods about people saying, what again, should be uncontroversial, that black lives matter, that is not just divisive, though it certainly is - it is dangerous. I now must wonder every day whether because of those intentionally spread falsehoods and intentionally created and false dichotomy that has been created whether my family is safe. Whether the Police who work a block away, will look at us, saying what again, should be uncontroversial, that black lives matter, and thank them. Their safety is less important to me than the safety of the person who put up the support the Police sign or the safety of the person who sent an anonymous threat to my family because we dare to say that black lives matter.

Ms. Napora asked Council how she can ensure her kids that her safety concerns will be taken seriously by the Police despite the attempts of members of this Council, and others in the community, to tell the Police that they should not be. Additionally, she asked how Council will condemn the threats contained in these letters and does she have to wait for the Task Force for action on this. Lastly, she asked where the limitations can be found that indicate the size limitations for signs in residential yards, because to the coward who sent the letter, we declined to follow your mandate that we take our sign down, but we are very happy to make it bigger.

Mayor Hoffmeister stated that he condemns threatening letters of any kind. It is inappropriate and unacceptable. He will be asking the Police Chief to look into the matter further and he asked Ms. Napora to provide a copy of the letter to City Council. Mayor Hoffmeister stated that in all his interactions with the Wyoming Police Department, personally, he has found them to be very professional and he has not witnessed the officers pick and choose which people to help whether it be based on one's political affiliation or whether they have an FOP sticker on their car or a support Police sign in their yard. He has found our law enforcement folks to be very professional and he will encourage them to continue this level of professionalism that he has seen throughout his entire time in Wyoming. He added that this is his personal view, and he apologized to Ms. Napora for the harassment she is being subjected to. He wholeheartedly condemns these types of letters and believes it is unacceptable behavior.

Ms. Averett apologized to Ms. Napora for what she is going through and she wholeheartedly agreed with her comments. It is shameful that there should have ever been some kind of false dichotomy between Black Lives Matter and supporting our Police

Department. But for someone to threaten you for leaving your sign up is really pathetic and she is disgusted by this. She cannot say enough how grateful that she is for Ms. Napora to have the courage to come forward and let people in the community know what happened because it is absolutely unacceptable.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor commented that she is echoing the replies so far and that obviously, this is unacceptable. The letter is wrong and the Napora family should not be put in this situation. There should not be this division. We have a city that is willing to work together to make sure that we are being fair to everyone. She does not know why it became this particular fight but she is sure that Ms. Napora and her family will be treated fairly by the Police Department, hopefully by the comments made by the Mayor, that it brings some comfort. To the people that continue to try to divide the city, every time you do this you hurt someone. So many more people are standing up and saying that this is wrong. What you are doing is countering the effect of what you are trying to do, it is only making people braver. She thanked Ms. Napora for speaking up because it took a lot of courage and she is very impressed by her and by so many people that are being bold and speaking out which is what we need right now and that is how we are going to get through this. It does not mean that this situation should have happened to her family but she thanked them for finding the courage to speak up.

Mr. Delgado stated that the Mayor said it best, and for him to repeat what the Mayor said would only be redundant, but he supports what has been said and he personally apologized to Ms. Napora and her family and to anyone that is going through this. Hopefully, through this process we can respect each other and show some fairness and grace throughout. He is hoping we have the opportunity to reset and move forward. Mr. Delgado reiterated his apology to the Napora family for having to go through this ordeal.

Mr. Green stated that he concurred with the other Councilmembers' statements. It is cowardly to write an anonymous letter and he hopes that Chief Herzog's staff will investigate and find the perpetrator that sent something out like this. It is inhibiting your First Amendment rights to express yourself. He is a firm supporter in a person's right to express themselves. He also feels that it is disgusting that someone would send an anonymous letter to try and stop one from those rights.

Ms. Napora thanked City Council for their time.

Chief Herzog commented that he can assure everyone that it does not matter what sign is in a person's yard. The officers will be as professional as possible with everyone in the city that lives here, works here, or travels through here. The Wyoming Police Department will be there to help keep residents safe and to help support you to provide whatever kind of level of service that you need. "We will be there for you, I can assure you of that". Chief Herzog explained that he is not in the office today but asked that the letter be emailed to

him or send it to the Police Department and he will look into it.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor asked the City Manager to provide information to Ms. Napora on the details of the Sign Code. Ms. Tetley referred the question to Megan Statt Blake, Community Development Director, in order for the correct Zoning Code information to be quoted. Ms. Statt Blake stated that on residential properties, a temporary sign can be up to six square feet in size and two sided. This is the largest that a sign can be when placed on a residentially zoned property.

BUSINESS:

A. Presentation on City Governance Procedures: Ms. Tetley provided a PowerPoint presentation on the city's governance structure. She stated that the presentation is going to echo the article that was recently published in the What's Up Wyoming online magazine. The first slide presented is the Organizational Chart and because the print is small and hard to read on the PowerPoint slide, Ms. Tetley pointed out that at the top of the Organizational Chart are the Voters of Wyoming. Then, the chart flows through City Council and then there are the branches underneath City Council consisting of the various Boards and Commissions, the City Manager, who also works in tandem with the City Solicitor. Under the City Manager are all of the various city departments and the City Charter are under the direction, for the day-to-day operations, of the City Manager.

City Charter

- Adopted by the Voters of Wyoming on November 3, 1981. Reviewed every five years, most recently amended in November 2016
- This document outlines powers and duties, organization structure, bidding and purchasing requirements, among other provisions
- Provides for a Council-Manager Form of Government in which the elected City Council members are the policy makers. There are seven City Council members, with one being elected by the Council to serve as Mayor, and one being elected by Council to serve as Vice-Mayor.

City Council

- City Council is the non-partisan governing body of the city. It is vested with broad powers in the areas of legislative policy, taxing and appropriating, and establishment of service levels in areas of safety, public works and infrastructure, recreation, and development.
- The City Council is elected at large and represents the entire community. City Council members serve two year terms with no term limits.

City Council

- There are five standing sub-committees of City Council, with members and a Chair that is chosen by the Mayor.
- Each are comprised of three City Council members
- These committees are: Public Safety, Buildings and Equipment, Law, Streets and Roads, and Finance
- Council sub-committees generally meet only as needed
- Committee of the Whole is comprised of all members of City Council and these meetings are considered “work sessions” where ideas, specific questions, etc. are discussed. Formal decisions cannot be made at a COTW meeting

Roles and Duties of City Council and the City Manager

- The Mayor is the presiding officer of City Council, acting as the ceremonial head of the city, administering oaths, and has the authority to appoint a Mayor’s Court Magistrate (among other authorities conferred upon Mayors by the laws of Ohio)
- The Vice-Mayor assumes these responsibilities in the Mayor’s absence
- The City Manager is appointed by City Council to carry out such policies and provide direct service to the community
- The City Manager is the chief executive officer and the head of the administrative branch. The City Manager is responsible for the administration of affairs for the city, the enforcement of all laws, Ordinances, and Resolutions, and has the authority to make all appointments and removals of staff within the city.

Role of City Departments

- The City Manager appoints all staff within the city government organization. This includes the appointment of Department Directors for all city departments, which are:
 - Police Department
 - Fire & EMS Department
 - Finance & Customer Service Department
 - Community Development Department
 - Public Works and Water Works Department
 - Recreation Department
- Departments assist in the provision of service delivery to the community, under the leadership of the Department Director
- Direction for department programs, projects, and strategy are guided by the Department Director with the input and consent of the City Manager
- Ultimate direction for the policy direction for all Departments is provided by City Council through the City Manager

Boards and Commissions

- Boards and Commissions are standing advisory bodies established by City Charter or Ordinance and members are appointed by City Council (or in some instances the Mayor) to provide ongoing input in major policy areas.
- Boards and Commissions may establish sub-committees as needed and members of those sub-committees may be non-commission members.
- Each Board and Commission has one City Council representative and one City staff representative (non- voting support role)
- Current Boards and Commissions include:
 - Planning Commission
 - Economic Development Commission
 - Board of Zoning Appeals
 - Urban Forestry and Beautification Commission
 - Environmental Stewardship Commission
 - Recreation Commission
 - Promote Wyoming Commission
 - Historic Preservation Commission
 - Income Tax Review Board
 - Community Improvement Corporation
 - Architectural Review Board
 - Civil Service Commission

Task Forces

- Task Forces may be appointed by the Mayor to study and make recommendations to City Council on issues of a relatively short-term or narrow focused nature (e.g. Master Plan Steering Committee, Senior Task Force, Mayor's City Center Task Force).

Sunshine Law

- City Council, COTW, Council sub-committees, Board and Commission, and Task Force meetings are subject to the Ohio Sunshine Law which requires that meetings be posted, the public be included to listen, and minutes be prepared.
- Public comment is required by city ordinance at City Council meetings. Public comment in all other meetings is determined at the discretion of the presiding individual of that meeting.

Executive Session

- City Council may go into Executive Session, which is a closed and unrecorded session of the body, pursuant to City Code. Conditions in which Executive Session may be used include:
 - To consider personnel matters
 - To consider the purchase, sale, or lease of property
 - To confer with the City Solicitor

- To prepare for negotiations with city bargaining units
- To discuss security arrangements or emergency response protocols
- To consider confidential information related to economic development matters
- To consider an issue that is quasi-judicial in nature
- No formal voting may occur in Executive Session

Mayor Hoffmeister thanked the City Manager for the presentation.

B. Report from the City Solicitor on Facial Covering Ordinance: Ms. Supinger stated that as Council may recall, at the July 6, 2020 Committee of the Whole meeting, there was a long and thoughtful conversation about establishing an Ordinance requiring face coverings primarily in indoor areas in the City. The group that led the discussions consisted of a number of physicians living in Wyoming that lent their views on the subject. The result of the discussions and the consensus was that an Ordinance should be drafted and be somewhat reflective of the Ordinance that had been adopted by the City of Dayton, and the Executive Order that has been issued in the City of Bexley, which had a number of exceptions in it. Ms. Supinger stated that she believes much consideration was given to make sure that no one would be unduly burdened by any sort of mask requirement.

Two days following the July 6, 2020 Committee of the Whole meeting, the Ohio Director of Public Health, at the state level, issued a directive that required face coverings in several counties including Hamilton County. This order remains in effect so long as Hamilton County is at a level three, red, public health alert level. This led up to the issue of what the city should do now, being that it had already discussed what an Ordinance would look like in Wyoming, and now there is a state mandate to consider as well. The state mandate specifically said that it would not supersede or preempt any local jurisdiction's rule that was more restrictive than the order. This means that the minimum requirements are set forth in the order and local jurisdictions can enact more restrictions in addition to what the state has imposed. A number of communities have those types of things already in place but a number of these communities that have Ordinances in place run concurrently with the state mandate including the City of Cincinnati, the City of Columbus, City of Cleveland, and the City of Dayton. These communities all have enforcement mechanisms in their Ordinances. There are additional regulations that were adopted by Yellow Springs and the City of Bexley which have less of an enforcement mechanism in their Ordinances.

Ms. Supinger explained that the reason she makes this distinction is because it is important to note that in Cincinnati, Cleveland, Dayton, and Columbus, all of these jurisdictions - have a city health department that has the responsibility for enforcing local orders and also the state-wide mandate. What this means is that the same entity is going to be enforcing both rules which makes it a little easier for that to be done. The issue that we have here in Wyoming is that the enforcement mechanism for the state mandate in Wyoming is going to

be the Hamilton County Public Health Department whereas, our local Ordinance would have to be enforced by our Police Department. This creates a bit of an issue with enforcement and a little confusion about who people should call and which rules need to be followed. Ms. Supinger stated that it is her recommendation in order to avoid the issue with dual enforcement of dual regulations that the City of Wyoming continues to follow the Ohio Director of Health's order for the time being, and if it wants to adopt a specific Ordinance, that it does that when the Director's order goes away.

Ms. Supinger shared a document comparing the director's order to the proposed city Ordinance and she explained that both have the same exemptions and the only instance where she found the city's proposed Ordinance to be more restrictive than the state Ordinance was the age of the individuals who are exempt under the state rule - it is ten years old, and under the proposed language, it would have the requirement set at six years old and under. The remainder of the regulations that the Committee of the Whole had generally agreed on were either the same or less restrictive than the state order. She further explained that the city's proposed Ordinance carved out more exceptions to the rules than the state order had so we would not be able to continue to keep those exemptions in place of a city ordinance. Lastly, the Committee of the Whole had voted on a different enforcement mechanism as well, that being the Ordinance being enforced by the businesses as opposed to individuals, which is different from the state mandate.

In summary, Ms. Supinger's recommendation is that if the city crafts an Ordinance that it does not go into effect until Hamilton County is no longer under a red, level three, public health emergency but we still remain under our Emergency Resolution. When the city adopts that Ordinance, it should maintain the same rules in place as the director's order so that we do not have confusion with our businesses and our residents about what the rules are that are in place.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor stated that it is her understanding that the enforcement would move from the City Manager to the Wyoming Police then to the Hamilton County Health Department and she asked if this was correct. Ms. Supinger explained that Hamilton County Public Health is not going to enforce a local ordinance they will only enforce the Director of Health's order. Ms. Stankorb Taylor asked if at some point the enforcement would be made by the Police Department. Ms. Supinger explained that the city is required to enforce the provisions of its local ordinances and it would go through the mechanisms that were discussed in the Committee of the Whole meeting.

Ms. Averett asked if there are any signs posted because she heard that there may be signage posted at the Recreation Center but we have not put up anything else about the director or the governor's orders. Ms. Tetley stated that a sign is posted at the Recreation Center but no other signs have been issued to the businesses yet until a determination was made at tonight's meeting.

Mr. Delgado questioned that if the city creates this order by ordinance that there are many similarities between the proposed ordinance and the directives from the Ohio Director of Health. In his opinion, it would represent the support of 65 physicians and more that are primarily saying that masks are important and that we should be wearing masks whenever we do not have the ability to have social distancing and that we should follow the CDC guidelines. He questioned what would happen if we took the opportunity to draw an ordinance with similar language from the Ohio Director of Health and put that into place in an ordinance for City Council to consider adopting.

Ms. Supinger stated that the city could adopt something very similar to the director's orders. The potential problem that may arise is when it comes to how it will be enforced. Would the enforcement be handled by the City Manager or the Police Department or would it be enforced by the Hamilton County Public Health Department? Ms. Supinger added that many of the mask issues are complaint driven in terms of enforcement. The public would need to be notified of who to contact if they are noticing violations, especially repeated violations. She added that if a bar or restaurant is shown to experience repeated violations, the Hamilton County Health Department has the greater authority to be in control of the situation than the city would be through its Police Department. The city's remedy could be to issue a citation and possibly cite the offender to Mayor's Court ultimately, whereas the Hamilton County Health Department could shut the business down. The county has more authority in terms of getting compliance with the requirement.

Ms. Supinger expressed concern in creating confusion as to who is enforcing what law. Is Hamilton County going to enforce the director's order or is the City Manager or the Police Department going to enforce its own ordinance? Having these two things the same could be problematic. She explained that when the county is no longer on a "red" status, this does not mean that masks can all of a sudden come off. She added that she believes most people have come to understand that the methods to combat COVID-19 are going to continue to happen and that we all need to continue to wear our masks. She believes that if City Council were to adopt an ordinance that would essentially extend the director's order beyond the point in time when we are no longer a "red" county, the enforcement would then transition to the City Manager or to the Police Department. She believes that this would accomplish goals that City Council was seeking to accomplish initially in the first Committee of the Whole meeting.

Mayor Hoffmeister asked for clarification that the city should draft an ordinance that would come into effect once the county is out of the red. Ms. Supinger confirmed that is correct and added that the ordinance would not have an effective date essentially until that event occurred. It would provide that we are still under an emergency declaration which she does not see us not being under an emergency declaration for some time.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor asked for clarification that the city would be able to write into the ordinance that once the level of red is lifted, the Ordinance would be in effect. Ms. Supinger confirmed that is correct.

Dr. Melissa Erickson, 115 Linden Drive, commented that her question was similar to Ms. Stankorb Taylor's in that once the county's level would decrease from level three to level two, the city's ordinance would automatically take effect. Ms. Supinger stated that this is correct and added that because we do not know when this will happen and to go from having a requirement to not having a requirement and then back to having one could be very confusing. In order to maintain a continuous requirement we would have the Ordinance already passed and adopted and it would just take effect once the county was no longer at a level three. Dr. Erickson stated that this makes great sense.

Ms. Rhonda Evans, 1410 Springfield Pike #29, asked for clarification that at this point, the Hamilton County Board of Health would be a better enforcement agency and that they could actually shut a business down. She asked if this means that authorities would address the people who refuse to put on a mask, and that the business could be shut down because someone does not want to wear a mask. Ms. Supinger stated that the City is not wanting to have businesses shut down. A business would be shut down by the Hamilton County Health Department, for example, if the business itself was not doing the things that they are required to do under the director's orders. The responsibility for wearing masks falls on the individual and the county is charged with enforcing that. The proposed city ordinance that had been discussed at the Committee of the Whole meeting was to somewhat shift that burden to the businesses because they are in the best position to make sure that individuals are complying with the rules and that they have the most skin in the game, so to speak. But in terms of what Hamilton County Public Health would do, and to clarify her statement about shutting it down, is that if the city has an entity that simply said it was not going to require its patrons to wear masks, then the business may be jeopardizing its vendor's license, essentially. Ms. Supinger added that she does not believe that the Hamilton County Health Department is going to do that to the innocent business owner that is trying to comply, but yet has some customer who is refusing to comply.

Ms. Evans stated that she is trying to understand from an implement point, since the responsibility would be shifted to the business owner how are we empowering them to address the issue should it come up; because she finds that most of the business owners are trying to comply as much as they can. If we are going to switch that responsibility to them, what are we going to do to empower them because it puts them in a precarious position as well.

Ms. Supinger stated that if we follow the director's order instead of the ordinance that had been discussed at the Committee of the Whole meeting, it puts that burden on the individuals; they are responsible for wearing a mask when they are in a public place.

Ms. Evans stated that this raises another issue for her because that position is based on an assumption that people are going to do the right thing. If you have one or two people that come into an establishment, where the 90% of the population that are patronizing that business establishment are following the rules, and you have those two people that won't, how will they handle that situation because there is still a risk to everyone else in that building. Ms. Supinger explained that the goal under the director's order was to try and keep law enforcement out of the fray and allow public health to handle the compliance issues. If you know of someone that comes into an establishment and refuses to follow the rules of that establishment by refusing to wear a mask they can be asked to leave and if they refuse to leave, they are trespassing. The business could then contact the Police Department and it could be handled that way. Ultimately, the goal was to keep this a public health issue for the public health department to enforce. In those kinds of isolated scenarios where there really is a problem, she believes that the Police Departments in those jurisdictions would respond.

Ms. Joyce Mueller, 526 Laramie Trail, commented that it sounds as though we are assuming that we will issue this ordinance when the state deems we are not required to do this. She asked what the benchmarks are that we have in place that says we can relax the mask issue because today, our R naught number was .90 for the state. She does not know what it is for Hamilton County, she was unable to find the data. Some of our numbers are high and some are low. What are the benchmarks we have in place that say we are safe and to relax the mask issue? Ms. Supinger explained that the way the ordinance would be written is that the requirement would remain in place, so long as the City of Wyoming is under an emergency declaration. Ms. Mueller asked what the benchmark is that takes us off of the emergency declaration. Ms. Supinger stated that unless the ordinance is rescinded by City Council, it is in force. Unfortunately, she cannot give an answer to this as it has been a constantly evolving issue and today, we cannot really say what it is going to be, what things are going to look like one month or four months from now. She believes that this will be a decision that City Council will have to make that either we are no longer under an emergency declaration or things have changed to the point where we can rescind the requirement. Ms. Mueller asked what the conditions are now that it has been determined that we are in an emergency; what are the benchmarks that are determining that this is an emergency. Ms. Supinger explained that the city is currently under a national state of emergency and a local state of emergency. Ms. Mueller stated that there are different statistic numbers from Johns Hopkins and from the CDC and a number of different entities that we are using to get our numbers from but no one is giving us any information about when the numbers reach certain levels that we can feel safe again. We do not have a goal that we are trying to reach. If we have a goal to work towards we can, but there is no access to the numbers to help us determine a goal. We do not have the numbers that are specific to our community much less our zip code, the numbers we work from are county numbers. She asked if the city is going to be more restrictive than the state. Ms. Averett commented that when this was discussed at the Committee of the Whole meeting, the physicians that

participated seemed to indicate that a goal may be when a vaccine is created, is when the restrictions will be lifted. Ms. Mueller asked what happens if there is no vaccine available. Ms. Averett stated that she is hopeful that there will be. Ms. Mueller stated that there are many diseases with no vaccines and that there still must be a benchmark, even if you are a physician; there has to be a benchmark that you look at when you are looking at a patient to determine what procedures you do for your patient.

Dr. Sara Kleinschmidt, 422 Grove Avenue, commented that she hears the fear and anxiety in Ms. Mueller's voice and added that she feels the same. She believes that the residents of Hamilton County are lucky to have the Health Care Collaborative that provides the county level data and city level data for the City of Cincinnati, which we share a border with, and zip code level data. The city had 65 health professionals working on the wording for the Ordinance who continue to analyze the zip code, county, and city level data and if we find ourselves in disagreement with that data provided by the state of Ohio for the levels of emergency we will absolutely charge ourselves with coming back to City Council.

Dr. Kleinschmidt stated that there are other qualified doctors who would also be glad to talk to Ms. Mueller off-line about some of her concerns but at some point we are going to have to rely on the information that we have available to us. We are probably not going to be able to get Wyoming specific data, it will need to be a patch work put together using City of Cincinnati, zip code, and Hamilton County data.

Ms. Mueller stated that she is not fearful, she is looking for a goal that we can work towards so we can move beyond. She is less afraid because as she looks at the numbers and sees that if we take the number of cases in Hamilton County, and the doctors have stated that there is probably 40% more cases that are presented by positive test, if she doubles that number and divides it by the population we only have 2% of the citizens of Hamilton County with COVID-19. She is not as concerned about this as she is about the restrictions that are coming down the pike. It is not a concern, it is an issue of what we are looking towards as our goal. We cannot be guaranteed that there is a vaccine because there are so many diseases out there that do not have vaccines. There has to be a spot where we have a happy medium, where we can go on with our daily lives, rather than restricting ourselves from individuals and so on.

Dr. Kleinschmidt added that it is good to have a goal, and to see if there are things that can be done better to come out of a state of emergency but for now, the suggestions of the Solicitor and the recommendations of the healthcare providers discussed, and all that can be done right now is being done.

Mr. Michael Manheimer, 9 Walnut Avenue, suggested that the triggering event in the Ordinance should not be when the threat level changes, but it should be put in the language to the effect that the ordinance would go into effect when the health director's

order is no longer in effect. The reason he says this is because there are numerous lawsuits going on, some of which have been successful, in challenging the authority of the director to make these kinds of orders. If language is added that says the only way the ordinance will go into effect is when the director's order is no longer in effect then this would cover this type of situation. Ms. Supinger thanked Mr. Manheimer for his suggestion and stated that she will add this to the language of the ordinance.

Mr. O'Reilly indicated that he is comfortable with the proposed changes to the draft ordinance.

Mr. Delgado asked to clarify that the city's proposed ordinance will fall into place either when the state goes to the next emergency level or the Director of Health's orders end. Ms. Supinger confirmed that the ordinance would go into effect when either the threat level goes down or that the director's orders are no longer in effect. The state will designate when the threat level goes up or down. Mr. Delgado indicated that he is comfortable with the proposed changes to the draft ordinance. Ms. Supinger clarified that the ordinance would include the alternative that either we are no longer subject to the director's order or the director's orders are no longer in place.

Ms. Averett asked for confirmation that the director's orders are enforced by the Hamilton County Health Department and if it switches to the City of Wyoming, then our Police Department would become the enforcement. Ms. Supinger stated this is correct and added that she would like to keep the language similar to the health department's language so that there is cohesiveness in any sort of transition that may occur. She would also prefer that complaints be taken to the City Manager so that our Police Officers are not constantly responding - if we can control voluntary compliance. The City Manager is very good at having conversations with people. She would still keep those mechanisms in the ordinance but ultimately it would fall on our Police Department to enforce. Ms. Averett indicated that she is comfortable with the proposed changes to the draft ordinance.

Mr. Green commented that he feels that there are two unresolved issues the first of which was discussed at length at the last Committee of the Whole meeting that we did not want the enforcement to fall on the Police Department, we wanted the enforcement to be handled by the businesses. The second issue is when the provisions of the ordinance end. He inquired whether we can tie this in to when the state of emergency is lifted from the City of Wyoming or some other type of ability. We can get our physician's group to let us know when they feel comfortable that we are no longer under a state of emergency or whatever it may be; he would like more clarification on when this ordinance would be sunsetted or stopped. Ms. Supinger stated that she would draft the language as it would sunset either when the state of emergency, that is currently in place in Wyoming, has been removed or otherwise when City Council rescinds the ordinance; which means that we have received information from our physician's group that they have told us it is a safe time

to do that. Mr. Green indicated that he is comfortable with the proposed changes to the draft ordinance.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor commented that when this was first discussed, City Council talked about the possibility of making masks available for the businesses and she asked the City Manager if this has been happening, if she has been able to distribute any masks to make it easier. Ms. Tetley stated that she and Monica Tuck have been distributing masks to most of the businesses and they have also been placed in each of the police cruisers and they have been handing them out to people as they need them. Ms. Stankorb Taylor asked for confirmation that the language in the draft ordinance that children under the age of ten, not six, will not be required to wear masks. Ms. Supinger stated that it would be her recommendation to stay consistent with the state's order. Ms. Stankorb Taylor commented that she has heard from many people about the urgency of this issue especially before the state order was issued, but just to be sure we do not have a drop off in between the two orders, and she asked if it would be wise if we could have language for the city's ordinance available prior the next Committee of the Whole or if perhaps we could have discussions in a special City Council meeting and then immediately move into a Committee of the Whole meeting. Ms. Supinger stated that was going to be her recommendation that she would have the ordinance prepared for next week's Committee of the Whole meeting or for a Special City Council meeting before the Committee of the Whole.

Ms. Averett asked for clarification that Wyoming is under a different state of emergency order from the Ohio Health Director's order. Ms. Supinger stated that is correct as City Council adopted a Resolution in March declaring it was under a state of emergency. Ms. Averett asked that if the ordinance will sunset, City Council will have to vote to lift its state of emergency (Resolution). Ms. Supinger stated that is correct. City Council will need to remove the state of emergency that it adopted by Resolution.

Ms. Averett commented that she believes it was Mr. Green's idea to have the Police Department remove someone from a business for trespassing and she was not initially for that idea, however; now she believes it makes sense if someone becomes difficult or obnoxious. The City of Oxford recently passed a local mask law and that is how they are enforcing it as a trespassing violation. Ms. Averett expressed approval with the proposed changes to the ordinance.

Mayor Hoffmeister commented that he is in agreement as well and it appears we can move forward on this and get it done at the next Committee of the Whole meeting with a Special City Council meeting as well.

C. Mayor's Report on Diversity and Inclusion Task Force: Mayor Hoffmeister commented that as some may know, nine days ago, he asked for the creation of a Task Force on Diversity and Inclusion. This Task Force is not an epiphany that came to him, but

rather it was based on the hard work of the folks of the Wyoming Inclusion Neighborhood Diversity Support (WINDS) and the Wyoming Cultural Collaborative and he would like to publicly thank them for everything they did. These volunteers have worked tirelessly to move us forward on this issue. He would like to personally mention Councilmember Jeff LeRoy, he is not present this evening because he is fulfilling his military obligation, and also Councilmember Sarah Stankorb Taylor who was also instrumental in working with the two organizations. Mayor Hoffmeister stated that he does not like to operate unilaterally, he likes to operate with the consensus of City Council. His concern, however, a couple of weeks ago, was that he felt that the rhetoric is going beyond just disagreement and has gone personal and gone over the level of inappropriateness and so he is hoping to turn the page with this Task Force and move the city forward and that is why he took the steps that he did. For those who are not familiar, it is a Diversity Inclusion Task Force and he read the charge of the Task Force: The charge of this Task Force will be to look at the current systems to make sure that there are no outdated practices or city policies resulting in disparate outcomes. After conducting its review, the Task Force will present their findings to City Council and the community. The Task Force will consist of 1-2 City Council members, the City Manager, and seven Wyoming residents.

Mayor Hoffmeister stated that this is the first step to turning the corner and is the reason that he has put this Task Force idea in place. The next step is the gathering of applications and the deadline to apply is July 24 and he encouraged folks to consider applying. He understands why people would not want to apply, but nonetheless, he wants to encourage people to apply. He believes that the applicants, and ultimately the Task Force, needs to be diverse and represent Wyoming as a whole, if the members do not reflect the greater community, members may not get the support of the community. He feels that people will not accept the outcome, regardless of the facts that are put forward. Therefore, his goal is to have a Task Force that people support and believe in the process and so his goal again, is to have a diverse group of folks that, even if you do not agree with their ultimate outcome, one will know that the process is fair and you know that these people looked at this, these facts impartially and they came to a conclusion. These are his thoughts on why he created this Task Force.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor thanked the Mayor for doing this. Over the course of the last month and a half she has not experienced anything like this in her life. It has been traumatic for a lot of people. She asked for more information on what the Task Force will be charged to do. She knows a lot of people have been asking these questions or if there is any additional information that can be provided as to the anticipated timeline or when the Task Force will be formed, etc. She knows that there are a lot of people who are nervous about applying, but there are also people are eager to get to work and have that reset that Mr. Delgado was referring to. Ms. Stankorb Taylor asked when we can get started.

Mr. Delgado asked if everyone knows where to apply and what is the process for applying to participate on the Task Force. Ms. Tetley stated that the application is on the city's website under the Volunteer Opportunities tab and is also available by email if someone prefers, they can email her at ltetley@wyomingohio.gov and she will send a copy of the application by email. Additionally, if someone is not able to find the application under the Volunteer Opportunities page on the city's website they can email Ms. Tetley and she will send an application that way.

Mr. Delgado asked if there is a Committee of the Whole meeting scheduled for July 28. Ms. Tetley stated that is correct and added that this item is not currently on the agenda, there are currently two items on it that being Rules of City Council and a discussion about Junk Day. Mr. Delgado asked if this item can be added to that agenda. Mayor Hoffmeister indicated it can.

Mr. Amsu Rajbhandari, 280 Compton Road, commented that the Mayor indicated that the Task Force will be diverse and inclusive and he asked for clarification as to what that means and whether there are any guidelines as to what he meant by diverse and inclusive. Mayor Hoffmeister explained that his idea of this Task Force is people that represent all of Wyoming. People with different schools of thought should be included on this Task Force, it should be a representation of everyone in Wyoming and should be a Task Force that people will believe in. Mr. Rajbhandari questioned that there is no specific kind of description beside the word diversity, meaning that it must have representation of maybe different ethnicity, different income levels, different professions, different whatever. He asked if there was anything specific within the Task Force to provide. Mayor Hoffmeister commented that he has not written any specific to the makeup of the Task Force. His goal is to have people of different races, different socio economic groups, different genders, and different sexual orientations. He would like to draw from many different categories of people, he is trying to be as widespread as possible.

Ms. Evans asked for clarification that the Mayor would like to create the Task Force with a diverse panel of people but she would like to be sure that the gathering of the information that they are looking find out about will be provided because there is a silent minority/majority here who often feels like they are not heard and they do not feel empowered to speak up. She stated that in choosing the diversity panel, she is hoping that there will be people that will represent that silent minority/majority because she has heard many people do not feel free speaking up or that they are heard and when they do speak up it is dismissed. So she would like to make sure that those groups are represented. Mayor Hoffmeister stated that he is unsure that you can have a conversation without the silent minority/majority. As for youths participating on the Task Force, someone has asked him about that and he was unsure at this time to allow someone under 18 on the Task Force. He would like to think more on this. He believes that there will be some types of sensitive matters. He believes that a young person could add a lot to the conversation but

he is unsure about the practice of having someone under the age of 18 on something like this. Ms. Evans suggested that the Mayor consider it because there is a large part of that conversation that you will miss out on if the input of the youth are not considered, especially youth from the high school level.

Mr. Rajbhandari commented that he agrees with Ms. Evans because as an older person becomes more sophisticated, they become better in hiding their racism. Right when you are young, it is apparent and blunt and so it's very important to get to listen to our young people because that is when it is clear. There is no filter. The young people that are practicing this kind of racism are the people who have learned it from their parents.

Mayor Hoffmeister stated that he needs to be sure that he runs through the proper channels, policies, and procedures to be sure that allowing youth on the Task Force will not be an issue.

Ms. Ellen Shores, 25 Springfield Pike, commented that she would agree that having someone under 18 would not be right but perhaps there are good candidates that are age 18. Getting those young voices heard is very important. She asked what kinds of structure and policies/procedures will be put in place on this Task Force to be assured that people are safe. There have been incidences at meetings where she has been shouted at and laughed at and it is exhausting. She believes the answer to that is not to point fingers at anyone but to have policies and procedures in place that are rules. These are the rules that will be played out and if someone does not follow the rules then they would have to leave the Task Force. She would like to see structures put in to place as these are very sensitive issues for a lot of people and people can get very heated. It can be very intimidating for herself as a woman, and she hears of other people of color, saying that they are feeling intimidated. She would encourage City Council to put some strong structures in place so that it does not go downhill and people do not feel attacked. She encouraged City Council to not select someone for the Task Force that does not believe that there is racism going on in the community. That would stall the Task Force from moving forward. She would not, as an example, appoint someone to the Recreation Commission that does not believe in recreation. Mayor Hoffmeister thanked Ms. Shores for her questions and comments. He has been contacted by people about putting some of these protections in place, which he is in favor of doing. He would also like to instill a rule that no one can post on Facebook but he is unsure if this is allowed. He explained that he will have rules put in place. Whenever you put restrictions on people, and this is going to be under our Sunshine Laws, but it is a challenge to make sure that what you are saying is actually legal, that it can actually be said, that what he says he will do is something that he can actually do. People will not attack people on this Task Force. They will be charged with sticking to the issues and not going after folks because of who they are, what they said in the past, but because you can have amicable disagreements about issues. He believes he can put those in place he has heard from other people that they might not feel comfortable in this process and that they need

some type of protections. He has not worked through this completely. Additionally, Mayor Hoffmeister explained that he cannot imagine someone being on this Task Force that prevents it from doing its job by being an obstructionist. This type of person would not be selected.

Ms. Deborah Allsop, 163 Fleming Road, congratulated the Mayor and City Council on all the good and hard work that they are doing. She asked to share her point of view that youth need to be involved in some way. The City of Wyoming and the Wyoming School District have a long history of partnership. We are essentially the same people in this community. We are the same people in this community because we are so deeply tied into our school district. Ms. Allsop stated that she believes that part of the fundamental conversation that we are talking about is institutional racism and she believes that people are taught racism and they are taught it from a very early age. She does not believe it is important that there a School District Task Force and a City Task Force that City Council consider some way of bringing the element, the very important element in this community, on to that Task Force to represent the schools and students. She would offer that to the creativity of the Mayor and Lynn Tetley, how we continue that partnership and how we start very early to begin to tackle this issue. Mayor Hoffmeister asked for clarification on the definition of youth and whether that means 18 and/or 19 year old people. Ms. Allsop stated that it is her belief that if 18 year olds can vote, she believes their voices need to be heard and empowered.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor commented that she believes the school district has been working on these issues for a couple of years now. She asked if there is anything that we can do to coordinate the efforts so the same conversation is not being held in two places. Ms. Tetley stated that she believes the school district could be looped in to see what they have done and see how we can partner and coordinate with what they have done so far.

Mr. Delgado commented that he believes a school representative should be included on the Task Force in some form or another. There are many opportunities to have conversations with young people whether they are in school, 18 years old or older, he believes the topic and opportunities are there. How do we pose these opportunities and take advantage of them? They can happen inside the Task Force as well as part of that Task Force, to be able to reach out and give them opportunities to discuss topics at hand.

Ms. Averett expressed concern with an earlier comment that the Task Force needs to look like Wyoming when Wyoming is overwhelmingly white and she believes it will not be perceived as very legitimate if a mostly white task force examines these issues when they so touch on people of color so much more than people who are white. She would think that we would want to tilt somewhat towards people of color more than trying to match the percentage of people ethnically in this community. Mayor Hoffmeister stated that he is not going to say he wants a certain percentage of white people; a certain percentage of black people; or a certain percentage of Latinos – he will not be looking at it from this

standpoint but rather it should reflect the views of people in Wyoming. Ms. Averett asked if this meant political views. Mayor Hoffmeister explained that he is referring to ones mindset, so everyone has an opportunity to speak, which may be challenging with seven people and he may consider expanding the number of members on the Task Force.

Ms. Averett commented that there is a woman of color that reached out to her, she does not know her very well, she was interested in applying for the Task Force but added that she has heard a lot of backlash from the Wyoming Cultural Collaborative people and she ended up changing her mind. There is a real concern from people that they are nervous, they do not want to be attacked, they do not want to get letters like Ms. Napora received. This is something that we will need to be careful with.

Mayor Hoffmeister explained this is what he is talking about and he is open to all suggestions. If people want to get their true feelings out, they have to feel comfortable and he applauds this person's desire to come forward. We have to put a program in place so people feel that, they can express themselves without being attacked, and to go home safely. He believes that it is crucial to the success of the Task Force and goes back to the premise that people may disagree. It is going to be harder for people to accept the ultimate conclusion of this Task Force that they think there is something wrong with the process. Part of something wrong with the process, to me, is you are not feeling comfortable in expressing yourself. That is a problem that we have to work on and he will take any suggestions of how or what we can do to make people be in a place where they feel that they can express their views and how they see an issue as challenging as diversity and inclusion.

Ms. Napora commented that, she believes that part of the challenge is helping to understand what the role of that Task Force is. In other words, it does not feel to her like it is going to work if the goal of the Task Force is that it be a representative body. Everyone on the Task Force does not have to represent a voice of Wyoming. She believes that is going to be a challenge. It is probably a non-starter, but if it is a working Task Force their goal should be and their role should be to elicit as many perspectives as possible. Not that they themselves have to bring every perspective to the table, but that they need to gather the data and do the work to ensure that as many voices that can be represented or any voices who want to be represented can be but not that because otherwise you end up in a situation where perhaps it should be bigger. Anyone that has served on any kind of Task Force knows that once they get big they get unwieldy. They are not productive but it says to her that the fact that there are people who want to be involved, it means that people want to be heard. If people feel like the only way they can be heard is to be a member of the Task Force as opposed to having a vehicle to the Task Force. She believes that we just need to remember is what people want is a mechanism to be heard.

Mayor Hoffmeister stated that he agreed with Ms. Napora and that she raised a very good point. Just because you do not want to apply to this Task Force does not mean the Task Force cannot call upon you for your expertise. It does not mean that you cannot give them information. He has been contacted by people already who say they do not want to be on a Task Force, but they have a lot of experience in this and they may have professional credentials and think they could be value added. He stated that he should have explained at the beginning that he pitched the Task Force and has encouraged many people to apply because he would like to receive many applications to consider but that is not the only way one can be part of the process.

Mr. Rich Robles, 330 Fleming Road, stated he encourages City Council to consider forming a storming forming process. Consider having some collective training for this group, not only in terms of the process of procedure when it comes to engaging within government, but also as it relates to the topic at hand. The process that the School District has been going through has taken over a year thus far. From his perspective one important thing that happened this last go-around was the fact that they, the group, the steering committee, spent some serious time training amongst themselves, which actually was beneficial when it came to engaging with the other teachers. So he would strongly encourage Council to consider this as part of this process. Do not expect quick wins immediately but invest some time and training this Task Force to actually allow them to consider not only themselves, to put themselves in the context of this process, but also within the process of how we examine thoroughly what you are asking them to take on.

Mayor Hoffmeister stated this was an excellent point. Depending on their backgrounds, some may already be up to speed. He believes that a group training with the members would be very effective and if he were on it, he would want something like this, so he will suggest it.

Ms. Kelly Anderson 146 Fleming Road, began by thanking the Mayor for forming the Task Force to potentially help diffuse some of the very high emotions that were running through town. She stated that as a longtime resident, it has been very difficult to listen to and read the online comments and hear a lot of the discourse that has been going on from her neighbors and residents and just hearing the town being so torn apart about something that, while is a very important issue, seems like we cannot stop and listen to each other and have civil discourse. She hopes that the formation of this Task Force is going to allow for that, that the people that are seriously applying to serve on the Task Force can hold their emotions, chat, listen, and come together to meet the goals and do what you are asking them to consider. She understands that it will not be an easy thing to do; emotions are running high for many reasons. She again thanked the Mayor for being the strong leader that is needed, and she thanked the City Manager for being everyone's go-to person, and for having all the anger directed at her, but for also trying to clean up everyone's messes. Ms. Anderson added that she understands that it is not going to be an easy thing

for anybody to do but civility is what we need to get through it. She stated that her comments do not mean that she has the answer, and she is not going to publicize which side of the fence she is on but she believes that everyone is allowed to believe what they believe. It is the great thing about the country we live in. We get to think what we think, and she wishes that as humans, we can remember that we should not attack people either publicly, anonymously, or on social media. Ms. Anderson stated that she has seen many instances of implied bullying on social media as well as ugly stories from people that she knows and that it is breaking her heart to think that this is happening in the town that she grew up in, and then she moved back to, to raise her kids. So she asked everyone to try to heal this community and actually fulfill the goals of this Task Force. So again, she thanked the Mayor for stepping up and thanked Lynn Tetley for serving this community for so long.

Mayor Hoffmeister thanked Ms. Anderson for her kind words. He reiterated that he is here because of the hard work that the folks on WINDS and the Wyoming Culture Collaborative put in at the front end and a lot of what this Task Force will do will be based on all the information all the all the hard work that they put in prior.

D. Public Hearing on Legislation Approving an Amendment to the Reduced Density Overlay Plan for 333 Oliver Road: Mayor Hoffmeister opened the Public Hearing. Ms. Sophia Holley, attorney on behalf of Kay and Tom Landers, the owners of 333 Oliver Road introduced herself and offered to share a few comments about the application and the property. The property has been referred locally as the Stearn's house. It is on the National Register of Historic Places in the United States. It was constructed in 1902 and consists of a 20,000+ square foot residents with 10 bedrooms nine fireplaces, four full and two half baths, and then it is joined by a carriage house which is over 4,000 square feet, which is on the property as well. The property has a total of 5.56 acres, and the reason we are here before City Council is because her clients have attempted for the past six years to try to sell the property and they have encountered some difficulties in doing so.

One of the biggest challenges here is the value of the property. It has been listed for \$1.8 million and that is a very expensive house. The house itself, is filled with a lot of beautiful significant features including Rookwood fireplaces, Tiffany sterling silver accents, and it is a stunning piece of property. Over a period of six years, her client has listed it on cincinnati.com and there have been articles on TV as well. At this point, it is the opinion of the owners that there does not appear to be any willing buyer for the property in its current state.

Ms. Holley added that there have been four potential buyers in the past six years, none of which were interested in purchasing the property solely for use as a single family residence; they would only be interested in the property if it could be used for a bed and breakfast which is a use in the City of Wyoming that is permitted, for most residences in general, if an application processes is followed.

Ms. Holley stated that prior to coming before City Council this evening, she, along with her clients have presented their request to the Planning Commission on two separate occasions with two separate proposals. The first proposal that was presented was to subdivide the property into three parcels; one, included the Stearns house; one, included the carriage house; and then one vacant parcel of land that could be developed. After working with the Planning Commission, there was a consensus that is not something the Planning Commission necessarily wanted to go forward with and her client was incredibly supportive and compromising in coming up with a separate plan. That is the plan that is now before the City Council here today. She explained that the two changes that are being asking for, which is summarized in the proposed Ordinance that is before City Council this evening is that the property be subdivided in such that it can includes the Stearn's house and then the carriage house on two separate lots. The Stearn's house would have roughly 3.9 acres, and the carriage house would have 1.66 acres.

When looking at the neighboring houses, these two proposed lots are still huge lots for this neighborhood and one of the purposes of the overlay was to preserve green space. The great thing about this proposal is there is no new construction. Aesthetically speaking, you look at the property and you see the same two structures that have been there for some time.

The second request of the owners is a request to lift the restriction prohibiting the use of a bed and breakfast. The owners feel that allowing this use would help in selling the property. The request at this time, is not to allow a bed and breakfast establishment but to allow the next owner the opportunity to apply for a Special Use Permit to allow a bed and breakfast operation, as is the right of any other homeowner in the community. If a future owner of the Stearn's house wished to operate a bed and breakfast, that homeowner would have to go through the city's established process of applying for the permit to do so.

Ms. Holley added that she and her clients have worked in tandem with Planning Commission to come up with the Ordinance that is before City Council this evening. Additionally, members may see the provisions in section three of the Ordinance which provides that any changes to the carriage house would need to be approved by the Architectural Review Board and the Historic Preservation Commission.

Additionally, Mr. and Mrs. Landers were very accommodating in providing the Commission Members a personal tour of the properties and the grounds. It appeared that the Members were in agreement that the carriage house has stunning architecture and great bones for conversion into a single family residence and any changes that would be made would be in compliance with the Code.

Lastly, she stated that the purpose of the overlay is to preserve green space and to ensure that the character and integrity of the properties are preserved as it is an incredibly expensive property to maintain. By allowing this subdivision, we are allowing another family to come in and live on the property, we are allowing the burden and benefit of having it maintained and protected and shared with the community so that it continues to be a beautiful piece of the community.

Mr. Mike Cooper, 356 Oliver Road, commented that he has lived on Oliver for 37 years and he and his wife have lived in Wyoming for about 60 years total. He questioned how we got to where we are right now. In 2001, Margot Stearns, turned over 10 acres of land formerly known as the Fisk property, to the city to be used as green space, which is located behind the Stearns house. The green space was intended to go untouched and undeveloped. In conjunction with this donation of land, Mrs. Stearns changed the deeding of the house to be a single family house with land that was not to be subdivided ever and was to remain with the estate home. This was all accepted by the city in 2001. Now the city is wanting to sign off on accepting the new proposal. The former City Manager signed the deed restriction and was happy to take the 10 acres of land and the restrictions placed on the property. So, in his opinion, the idea of keeping green space seems like a ruse more than anything because in the submission Ms. Holley provided indicates that the property would be subdivided in order to help sell it. So all in all, this says to him, that this is a story about money. The owners have admitted that they cannot sell the property for what they are asking for. The city has many savvy real estate agents that live here and generally, all would agree that when you cannot sell a property it is because it is priced too high. Mr. Cooper expressed concern that it appears that the City is bailing someone out of a financial situation. The proposal does not add any additional green space to the City and contrary to what Ms. Holley has proposed it does not preserve any green space as well. Mr. Cooper stated that, in his opinion, the area residents are getting two negatives and not gaining anything except trying to help someone out of a financial situation at the expense of the neighbors and the city, in general.

Ms. Holley shared additional background in that the initial proposal that was made to the Planning Commission was for the property to be subdivided into three parcels and for there to be the construction of a single family home on a smaller parcel, which would itself be .08 acres. This proposal was rejected by the Landers because they wanted to accommodate and work with the city on a mutual agreement. The proposal for the three parcels is no longer viable and at the encouragement of the Planning Commission, the Landers had agreed to split the property into two parcels; one containing the estate home and the other containing the carriage house.

Ms. Kelly Myers, 308 Forest Avenue, commented that this is the first she has heard of this proposal although she lives on Forest, not on Oliver, and she does not have immediate access or view of the Stearns house but in her opinion, it seems that this is a very modest

request to divide the property into two parcels for the two buildings and to maintain the historical nature of the buildings as well. Her concern would be that if this was not allowed, and the property cannot continue to be maintained and kept up, that it could lead to problems that would fall on the city's shoulders, allowing a large historical house to fall into disrepair. She would hate to see this happen and would much rather see the property divided and preserved.

Mr. Cooper commented that when the original proposal was three parcels and then backed down to two parcels, this is still not what the Landers signed up for when they bought the house. They knew about the deed restriction, they knew that the house had already been on the market for a year, what they paid for it and what they want to sell it for is a financial matter and it should not have any bearing on what the city and the Stearns family agreed to legally and he believes this is breaking that legal trust. Mr. Cooper stated that this is horrible thing for the city allow this to happen. He does not agree with property owners asking for zoning changes to financially benefit themselves. Mrs. Stearns had met with the neighbors and had ceremoniously shared that she was turning the property over with a new deed to the house and the neighbors were relieved that she was going to leave the property in the state that her late husband, Evan Russell Stearns, wanted it to be left. Mr. Stearns could have sold the property years ago and made a fortune; he regularly received many offers from people to purchase his home, but he never wanted to. The deed restrictions were what he wanted and that is what his wife made sure would happen after his death.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor asked for additional background on this particular deed as it was a one of a kind situation. Additionally, she asked if anyone has heard from Mrs. Stearns or her attorneys, this would be helpful.

Ms. Supinger stated that she is not sure exactly what is being asking about the deed. There was no change to the actual deed of the house - just to correct that statement. When this property was sold there were no restrictive covenants in the deed regarding what could happen with the house or the property. The only restriction was a zoning classification placed on the property, into the Reduced Density Residential Overlay District. It could only be used for single family, owner occupied, and it could not have the density of property increased. That is the issue - an amendment to that plan is what is being sought here, which allows one to be subdivided into two parcels with the carriage house on one and the main house on the other and would allow the main house to be used as a bed and breakfast, which currently is not permitted under its approved plan.

Ms. Tetley stated that she has not heard anything from Mrs. Stearns.

Mayor Hoffmeister closed the public hearing.

E. Second Reading of the Ordinance Approving an Amendment to the Reduced Density Overlay Plan for 333 Oliver Road: Mayor Hoffmeister noted this is the second reading of the Ordinance and set the third reading for the August 17, 2020 City Council meeting.

F. Public Hearing on Renewal of Special Use Permits for Day Care and Nursery School Facilities, the Group Home Facility, the Interfaith Hospitality Network, and the Healing Space in the City of Wyoming: Mayor Hoffmeister opened the public hearing. Having received no comments, Mayor Hoffmeister closed the public hearing.

G. Renewal of Special Use Permits for Day Care and Nursery School Facilities, the Group Home Facility, the Interfaith Hospitality Network, and the Healing Space in the City of Wyoming: Mr. Green moved to approve the renewal of the permits. Ms. Stankorb Taylor seconded the motion. There being no comments, the motion to renew the Special Use Permits for Day Care and Nursery School Facilities in the City, the Group Home Facility, the Interfaith Hospitality Network, and the Healing Space carried with Mr. Delgado abstaining and all others voting yes.

H. Report from the Finance Committee on the 2021 Tentative Tax Budget: Mr. Delgado reported that the Finance Committee met and reviewed the proposed Assumptions for the 2021 Tentative Tax Budget and the Assumptions for the 2021-2023 Financial Projections, as prepared by Mr. Caudill, Finance Director. The assumptions are captured in a memo to the City Manager dated June 22, 2020. Key assumptions include that the Local Government Fund will be reduced in 2021 by \$86,442. This is a reduction of \$9,605 from the 2020 budget amount and the tax budget income tax receipts are expected to be down 15% from the 2020 tax budget amount. This is a decline of \$930,000 from the 2020 budgeted amount as the Committee looked at the operating budgets being reduced in anticipation of the downturn in revenue. This also includes reducing the amount of transfers in 2021. The Tentative Tax Budget is required in order for the city to receive its Local Government Fund allocation, but serves no other substantial purpose. The Committee had no recommended changes to the assumptions provided.

The Committee reviewed the Tentative Tax Budget document that is due to Hamilton County Budget Commission by July 24, 2020. This budget was developed based upon the previously discussed assumptions. The Committee had no recommended changes to the Tentative Tax Budget.

Lastly, assumptions for the 2021-2023 financial projections that the Finance Director provided a summary memo of the three year financial production is to be used, the development of the operating budget, these assumptions largely mirror the tentative tax budget assumptions, the impact of the capital projects and equipment transfers are yet to be determined. The Committee had no recommended changes to the assumptions.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor moved to receive the report. Mr. Green seconded the motion. There being no comments or discussion, the motion to receive the report from the Finance Committee on the 2021 Tentative Tax Budget carried with all voting yes.

I. Public Hearing on the 2021 Tentative Tax Budget: Mayor Hoffmeister opened the public hearing. Having received no comments, Mayor Hoffmeister closed the public hearing.

J. Resolution Adopting the Tax Budget of the City of Wyoming, Ohio for the Fiscal Year Beginning January 1, 2021 and Submitting Same to the County Auditor: Mr. Delgado moved to adopt the Resolution. Mr. Green seconded the motion. There being no comments or discussion, the motion to adopt the Resolution Adopting the Tax Budget of the City of Wyoming, Ohio for the Fiscal Year Beginning January 1, 2021 and Submitting Same to the County Auditor carried with all voting yes.

K. Report from the Finance Committee on the Assumptions for the 2021-2023 Financial Projections: Mr. Delgado noted that the report is included in the Tentative Tax Budget Report. The assumptions are utilized as guides for city administration when developing the budget and largely mirror the tentative tax budget assumptions. The impact of the capital projects and equipment transfers are still yet to be determined. There were no recommendations or changes from the Committee to the Finance Director or the City Manager.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor moved to receive the report. Mr. Delgado seconded the motion. There being no comments or discussion, the motion to receive the report from the Finance Committee on the Assumptions for the 2021-2023 Financial Projections carried with all voting yes.

L. First Reading of the Ordinance Appropriating Revenues Within Certain Funds, Transferring Revenues from Certain Funds, and Transferring Certain Funds Within Designated Accounts: This Ordinance is adopted in July and December in order to appropriate additional revenue that has been received during this six-month period. Under state law, Council action is only required to approve additional appropriations. Council action is not required for transfers between accounts that do not exceed the fund appropriation or the departmental appropriation; however, historically, all transfers over \$50 are approved in order to provide a record of budgetary transactions.

Mr. Delgado moved to suspend the rules. Ms. Averett seconded the motion. There being no discussion, the motion to suspend the rules carried with all voting yes. Mr. Delgado moved to adopt the Ordinance. Ms. Stankorb Taylor seconded the motion. By roll call vote, the

motion to adopt the Ordinance Authorizing the Transfer of Certain Funds from Designated Accounts and Appropriating Additional Revenues carried with all voting yes.

M. Second Quarter Financial Summary: Mr. Jeremiah Caudill, Finance Director, presented the report to City Council in a PowerPoint presentation. The second quarter financial report provides a financial summary of the first six months of 2020:

- General Fund Revenue:
\$5,128,864 total revenues which are comprised of:
 - Real Estate taxes of \$1,627,630
 - Income Tax receipts of \$3,010,856
 - Local Government Fund of \$56,932
 - Cigarette/Liquor receipts of \$28
 - Charges for services of \$74,415
 - Permits-\$16,742
 - Court costs/fines/forfeits of \$40,058
 - Interest of \$40,362
 - Other Revenue of \$261,839

- General Fund Expenditures:
\$3,939,413 total expenditures comprised of:
 - Police Department/Mayor's Court expenditures-\$1,016,804
 - Other Operating expenditures-\$1,283,546
 - Public Works/Facilities expenditures-\$758,663
 - Fire/EMS expenditures-\$249,791
 - Administrative/Legislative/Communication-\$227,178
 - Customer Service/Finance expenditures-\$164,602
 - Legal expenditures-\$28,897
 - Community Development expenditures-\$97,432
 - Transfers/Debt Service-\$112,500

- General Fund Highlights:
 - Income Tax receipts are down 29.4% compared to 2019
 - Property Tax receipts-\$1,627,630
 - Expenses of \$3,939,413, representing 36.8% of budgeted amount compared to 50% of year complete

- Recreation Fund Revenues:
Total Recreation Fund Revenues: \$226,426
Major Revenue Sources:
 - Recreation-\$116,086
 - Civic Center-\$52,570

- Aquatic Center-\$4,469
- Parks & Fields-\$51,300

- Recreation Fund Expenditures:
 - Total Recreation Fund Expenditures: \$425,881
 - Major Expenditure Sources:
 - Recreation-\$311,915
 - Civic Center-\$68,866
 - Aquatic Center-\$22,059
 - Parks & Fields-\$23,042

- Capital Improvement and Water Works CIP Fund:
 - Total CIP Fund Expenses: \$1,740,410
(Debt Service \$174,772; Kattelmann Property Improvements \$642,435; Springfield Pike \$923,204))

- Total WW CIP Fund Expenses: \$67,165
(GCWW Emergency Connection Update \$4,000; GIS Development \$7,109; Debt Service \$56,055)

- Water Revenue Fund:
 - 2018 Water Revenues (at end of second quarter)-\$818,895
 - 2018 Water Expenditures (at end of second quarter)-\$976,199
 - 2019 Water Revenues (at end of second quarter)-\$833,454
 - 2019 Water Expenditures (at end of second quarter)-\$808,522
 - 2020 Water Revenues (at end of second quarter)-\$916,971
 - 2020 Water Expenditures (at end of second quarter)-\$573,517

- Non-Major Funds:
 - Street Construction Fund:
 - Total Revenue-\$253,160
 - Total Expenses-\$123,161

- State Highway Fund:
 - Total Revenue-\$21,192
 - Total Expenses-\$7,943

Mr. Green moved to receive the report. Ms. Stankorb Taylor seconded the motion but first asked how it is that there is revenue in the Aquatic Center line and where does that money come from. Mr. Caudill explained that there was a small amount of revenue generated from membership sales that had occurred this year. Some members have chosen to receive a credit or refund and some have asked that their 2020 membership be carried

over to 2021. Ms. Stankorb Taylor asked if the city owes people refunds. Ms. Rachel Leininger, Director of Recreation and Citizen Engagement, explained that there was a small amount of membership sales in 2020 as some people renew their memberships early in the year. All of these people have been contacted and have either elected to carry it over to 2021 or be refunded in full. This has already been taken care of but it is not reflected in the revenue account as it is reflected in the expense line.

Ms. Averett asked for examples of how expenditures have been cut. Mr. Caudill stated that all overtime has been eliminated unless it is on an emergency basis, such as water main break repairs. Education, training, and travel expenses have been frozen. Also, any non-essential contracts have been eliminated as well; anything that is not essential or needed for our central services such as providing public works, police, fire, and EMS services has either been frozen or cancelled. Ms. Tetley added that all equipment purchases have been deferred and eliminate any capital projects as well. All department directors were asked to go through their budgets and eliminate everything they possibly could. There being no further discussion, the motion to receive the Second Quarter Financial Report carried with all voting yes.

N. First Reading of the Ordinance Approving Current Replacement Pages to the Codified Ordinances of the City of Wyoming and Declaring an Emergency: Mr. Delgado moved to suspend the rules. Ms. Averett seconded the motion. There being no comments, the motion to suspend the rules carried with all voting yes. Mr. Delgado moved to adopt the Ordinance. Ms. Stankorb Taylor seconded the motion. There being no comments, the motion to adopt the Ordinance Approving Current Replacement Pages to the Codified Ordinances of the City of Wyoming carried with all voting yes.

O. Proclamation Declaring September 2020 Prostate Cancer and Awareness Month: Mayor Hoffmeister read the Proclamation.

MISCELLANEOUS:

Mr. O'Reilly began with saying that he has great respect for the City Solicitor and the view the Solicitor has taken; and his forthcoming statement is not a disagreement about the quality of her advice.

Mr. O'Reilly stated that City Council should have received from him, about five days ago, recommendations for a motion in light of the substantial reduction in city income as identified in the tax budget documents and in light of the \$14,000 already extended for City Council training so far in 2020, Mr. O'Reilly moved that City Council direct the administration to cancel the planned payment for the diversity training to be held in August by Ms. Amy Hull, CEO/Owner, Equity, LDI.

Mr. O'Reilly stated that this would occur, whether or not the Attorney General agrees with him, that the proposed closed meeting of City Council along with 21 invited guests would violate the Open Meetings Act.

Mr. O'Reilly stated that in his textbook on Open Meetings, particularly volume three, chapter 27, he believes that he is on fairly good ground in saying that the proposed training by Ms. Hull would violate Ohio's Open Meetings law. In the light of that, it would be inappropriate for the city to spend either \$2,000 or a lesser amount on Ms. Hulls' training program.

Mr. O'Reilly stated that Ms. Hull is free, of course, under the First Amendment to communicate whatever she wishes the government and to people who want to either pay her or participate with her in something, but a closed meeting of City Council to hear a presentation by Ms. Hull along with 21 selected guests would, in his judgment, trigger a negative response among one of the 14 Common Pleas Judges in Hamilton County. The reason he brings up the court is that if a person were to sue the city, the city would owe both the attorney fees and costs of the person who litigated against the city.

Mr. O'Reilly estimated damages may be in the range of \$12,000 to \$20,000 if there is litigation. Mr. O'Reilly reiterated that he is not saying anything bad about our Solicitor as he has great respect for her. He believes that we cannot do the payment to Ms. Hull and conduct a closed meeting with a chosen few. Mr. O'Reilly motioned to direct the administration, not to make the payment for the training, whether or not the Ohio Attorney General rules along with him that it is in violation, whether or not the Attorney General does, that he would have the motion to cancel the proposed payment to Ms. Hull and he so moved. He asked the Mayor to call for the yeas and nays.

Mayor Hoffmeister explained that this is new territory for him and he asked for clarification if the motion needs to be seconded or shall the names be called for yeas and nays. Ms. Supinger stated that a second to the motion needs to be made in order for a roll call vote to be taken. Mr. Delgado asked the Mayor to repeat the motion so he can be sure he understands what is being asked. Mayor Hoffmeister stated that as he understands, Mr. O'Reilly has motioned to vote on allowing the diversity training with Ms. Hull, which is training that the City Manager has organized for the Commissioners and for City Council. Ms. Tetley added that the training cost is \$750 per session, with two sessions planned, and noted that donations have been received in excess of that amount that will cover the cost. Mr. Delgado asked and Ms. Tetley responded that there would be up to 50 participants. Mayor Hoffmeister stated that, as he understands it, Mr. O'Reilly believes that this will violate the meeting requirements imposed by the state of Ohio in the Open Records Act. Mayor Hoffmeister added that the Solicitor has provided a legal opinion that it is not a violation of that rule.

Mr. O'Reilly stated that he respects the Solicitor and that he personally has a great deal of experience with the law having written about it since 1976. He believes this action violates the Open Meetings Law and he believes that one or more of the 14 Judges of the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas would side with any citizen who sued the city and if that citizen were not successful that the city would have to pay his or her or their costs to sue and fees, that is why it would be better for us not to go forward with the payment to Ms. Hull.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor inquired of the Solicitor if she is allowed to ask further questions before a second to the motion is made or is a second required. Ms. Supinger stated that technically, in order to continue this debate, there needs to be a second to the motion.

Mayor Hoffmeister asked for a second to the motion proposed by Mr. O'Reilly. Having received no second, the motion to direct the administration to cancel the payment for diversity training to Ms. Amy Hull, failed.

Mr. O'Reilly motioned to have City Council Members state for the record, its support for the quality and professionalism of the Wyoming Police Department and he called for the yeas and nays to be recorded. Mr. Green seconded the motion. Mr. Delgado asked the Mayor to repeat the motion so he can be sure he understands what is being asked. Mr. O'Reilly restated that the motion is for City Council to state on the record its support for the quality and professionalism of the Wyoming Police Department.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor questioned why City Council is being asked to do this today. She stated that of course, City Council supports its Police Department. As with the signs we are all seeing around town, they initially seemed to be in response to the misinformation that has swept through the city that City Council is seeking to defund the Police Department which is blatantly not true and has caused a division within this community. This feels like a knee jerk reaction, in her opinion, either to that misinformation or to create some sort of false dichotomy and further divide the City.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor stated that she personally has been very impressed by our Police Department and their engagement recently with the community as people have been asking them questions specifically about equity. Chief Herzog has spent so much time with community members and herself answering question after question as well. Assistant Chief, Steve Ballinger, has spent time with her answering questions about Mayor's Court and explaining the data that the Police Department collected, never having imagined the data that people would want. There is so much effort going on right now to bridge relationships between residents and the Police and by the Police themselves and no matter how well intentioned our purposes may be right now, she fears that commending the police force when we are in the middle of this historic moment and when so many people

are trying to move forward on racial justice it seems like were creating an either/or and she does not want to do that. Moreover, our City Manager, our Public Works Department, and so many other departments have been working so hard during this pandemic that perhaps it would make more sense to table this for now and do something special to commend all of our staff after the course of this pandemic is over, so that we are not singling out one particular department and she believes that we need to try and be very careful right now.

Ms. Averett commented that she does not agree with the idea of trying for force City Council members to pick a side on this issue. We are supposed to work together as a team and this is something you want. If this is something you wanted to discuss in Committee of the Whole she would be open to that but to suddenly pop in at the end of this meeting it feels like Mr. O'Reilly, and others, are trying to create a division on Council and she does not believe that is their job. She believes Council is supposed to work together and although she is a brand new member to City Council, the only member of the police force that she knows is Rusty. She has nothing but good interactions with Rusty but she does not know any of the other officers and she does not have any data about the Police Department. She has heard that they are very professional, and certainly Rusty is, and she would have no reason to think that they are not. She will not swear on the Bible and declare them to be a certain way tonight. They seem to do a good job but she does not see the point of this.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor asked the City Solicitor if this item can be moved to be tabled to a later discussion at a Committee of the Whole meeting so that Council can consider doing something positive for all of our departments. Ms. Supinger explained that a motion has been made and seconded so the item needs to be voted on.

By roll call vote, Ms. Averett abstained from voting. Ms. Stankorb Taylor asked Mr. O'Reilly to repeat the motion. Mr. O'Reilly stated that five days ago, City Council received an email from him asking for a motion of City Council to state on the record, its support for the quality and professionalism of the Wyoming Police Department. Ms. Stankorb Taylor commented that for the reasons she stated before, she does not feel that this motion is a good idea right now for that reason she would like to abstain from voting right now but she would be happy to re-approach this and do something for all of our departments.

Mr. Delgado asked what it means to abstain. Ms. Supinger explained that as City Council members, you have been elected to make decisions. Typically an abstention would occur when there is some sort of conflict of interest where it would be unethical for you to vote on the motion.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor commented that in terms of in the current climate within the community, she feels that this is an unethical position for City Council members to be put in. She is concerned about how this will play out after this meeting and it may mean more

signs being put out and it may mean more people getting threats for more signs. If abstention is not an option she supposes she has to vote no to doing this right now and that there are much more responsible way to approach this in the future. She stated that she does support our Police but she does not approve of being placed in a position like this, so for these reasons she is voting no.

Mr. Delgado commented that the only problem, in his opinion, is that we have all been placed into a position that you need to vote and just as I said in my opinion when you abstained, you made a vote and you show your representation by abstaining which to me, you have made a vote that allows the people to read it either direction and he does not like the position that we have been placed in any more than you do. So from his perspective when you say that you are abstaining, that means you have voted.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor's vote was taken again and she revised her vote to abstain. Mr. Delgado voted yes. Mr. Green voted yes. Mr. O'Reilly voted yes. Mayor Hoffmeister voted yes. The motion carried.

Mr. O'Reilly commented that he had a previous discussion with one of the administrators who preferred that he not proceed with that other motion. So with all great respect for her, he is not going to proceed with that motion. He has no further motions this evening.

Ms. Stankorb Taylor stated that she has additional comments this evening. She stated that she would be remiss without saying a few words to our residents who she asked for help to come up with a framework for what a Task Force on diversity inclusion and what equity could look like in the city. They put their faith in a civil hearing and that is the norm on so many other issues. They have faced rumors and lies during this meeting and they faced threats ever since. She wishes deeply that we figure out how to demand civility for their ideas in real time and she apologize for not figuring out how to do that as it was happening. Now, she can say, we have certainly learned an important lesson about how crucial civility is. We absolutely as a Council must figure out how to move forward without distraction. We all need to work to shut down nonsense. We have seen a month of hurt and harassment and damaging a person's fear of how confronting systemic racism can be and the lengths that some people will go through. A resident who has lived in Wyoming since 1965 wrote to her last week urging us forward with the Task Force as she was troubled by all of the misinformation that has spread throughout the city in June and she quoted Tony Morrison, "The very serious function of racism is distraction. It keeps you from doing your work. It keeps you explaining over and over your reason for being". Somehow we need to figure out how to manage the instability, the games people are playing, the hate, and the false dichotomies. No one is threatening our police budget or the police themselves. It is has been a traumatic difficult summer for many people, and particularly our black neighbors. So, above all, as the weeks have gone on and the

sandboxes have overflowed, the vast majority of us residents are grateful we are now on this path and I think we heard that tonight. They just want the simple assurance that our city wants to be fair to everyone and we cannot do that without double checking. She would like to thank all of the staff members for hanging on throughout this bumpy ride and to continue to do their absolute best work and remain open to our community. Above all, she would like to thank our residents that spoke up about their experiences, provided their expertise, and continue doing so as she knows how difficult that has been, but you set us along this path. She thanked Eve, Ann, Beth, Cheryl, Rich, Scott, and Marina. Our city owes you a debt of gratitude. Thank you for being serious and doing the real work.

Mayor Hoffmeister commented that he would like to propose a motion to Council, which he provided them four days ago, and he would like to call for the yeas and nays. Mayor Hoffmeister moved that City Council state its support for the diversity and humanity of all Wyoming residents, we as a community are made stronger by this diversity, each of us as a valued member of this community and we prosper when we recognize and respect these differences equally. Ms. Stankorb Taylor seconded the motion. Mr. Green voted yes. Mr. O'Reilly voted yes. Ms. Averett voted yes. Ms. Stankorb Taylor voted yes. Mr. Delgado voted yes. Mayor Hoffmeister voted yes. The motion carried.

Mayor Hoffmeister stated that he would reiterate what Ms. Stankorb Taylor stated earlier. There are no winners or losers. This is not a sporting event, we are all on the same team, and our team is Wyoming. We are all the home team. Everyone can have their differences in opinions, there is nothing wrong with that, but we have to get passed this. He believes we are almost there and then sometimes he believes we take two steps back. We have to keep thinking about how we can work together, how we can be civil and avoid these personal attacks and he remains hopeful.

EXCUSAL OF ABSENT MEMBERS:

Ms. Stankorb Taylor moved to excuse Mr. LeRoy. Mr. Green seconded the motion. There being no discussion or comments, by roll call vote, the motion to excuse Mr. LeRoy carried with all voting yes.

EXECUTIVE SESSION:

Mr. Delgado moved to adjourn to Executive Session under Section 121.03(b)(3) of the Codified Ordinances of Wyoming to Discuss Pending or Imminent Litigation or Other Legal Matter Requiring the Attention of City Council. Ms. Stankorb Taylor seconded the motion. By roll call vote, the motion to adjourn to Executive Session carried with all voting yes. The meeting adjourned to Executive Session at 9:37 p.m. Council left Executive Session at 9:55 p.m.

ADJOURN:

Mr. Delgado moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Green seconded the motion. By voice vote, the motion to adjourn the meeting carried with all voting yes. The meeting adjourned at 9:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Debby Martin, Executive Assistant

Karen Zeilman, Clerk of Council

Thaddeus Hoffmeister, Mayor